4	~	4
	- 4	1
	.,	1

794	παίει παραστάς νεῖραν ἐς πλευρὰν ξίφει	
797	πίπτω δὲ πρηνής οι δ' ὄχημα πωλικόν	
803	πάρεστι λυπρά πρός φίλων πεπονθέναι.	
972	Βάκχου προφήτης ώστε Παγγαίου πέτραν	

The conclusion to be drawn from this evidence is that *Rhesus* is ,,a poor poet's play". It just cannot be the work of a Euripides (not even twenty years old). Thus, pace Ritchie, I would side with Wilamowitz first⁵, with, say, H. D. F. Kitto last⁶ in believing that *Rhesus* is a spurious play⁷.

Received 4th of Nov. 1983.

MIROSLAV MARCOVICH
Department of Classics
University of Illinois
Urbana

UDC 807.5:875

ARISTOTLE AND MENANDER ON EDUCATION

- 1. Obviously, Aristotle shared the view of the old Solon (Fr. 27 West) in believing that the human lifespan could be divided into seven-year periods (hebdomads): Polit. H 17, p. 1336 b 37 Ross, Δύο δ' εἰσὶν ἡλικίαι πρὸς ἀς ἀναγκαῖον διηρῆσθαι τὴν παιδείαν, πρὸς τὴν ἀπὸ τῶν ἑπτὰ μέχρι ἤβης καὶ πάλιν πρὸς τὴν ἀφ' ἤβης μέχρι τῶν ἐνὸς καὶ εἴκοσιν ἐτῶν. οἱ γὰρ ταῖς ἑβδομάσι διαιροῦντες τὰς ἡλικίας ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ λέγουσιν οὐ κακῶς, δεὶ δὲ τῆ διαιρέσει τῆς φύσεως ἐπακολουθεῖν.
- 2. The age of seven (and not fourteen or twenty-one) seems to be crucial for Aristotle. For it is then that a man becomes ,,mature", in the sense that he becomes capable of distinguishing between good and evil. Compare Aëtius 5.23 and Ps. Galen *Philos. hist.* 127: Ἡράκλειτος καὶ οἱ Στωικοὶ ἄρχεσθαι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους τῆς τελειότητος περὶ τὴν δευ-

⁵ Analecta Euripidea (Berlin, 1875), p. 198.

⁶ Yale Classical Studies 25 (1977), 317—350. — Ebener offers a useful survey of pros and cons (pp. 20—23).

⁷ As is known since Chr. Riedel (Alliteration bei den drei grossen griechischen Tragikern, Diss. Erlangen, 1900), the pi-alliteration is the most frequent one in Greek tragidy (followed by kappa and sigma). Among the assonances, those of alpha and epsilon are the most common ones. Compare Ritchie 241f., and now M. Marcovich, Three-Word Trimeter in Greek Tragedy (Beiträge zur Klass. Philologic, 158). Königstein/Taunus, 1984, p. 183 and n. 21. On the π/ϕ -parechesis compare also Eduard Fraenkel ad Aeschylus Agamemnon 268,

τέραν έβδομάδα, περὶ ἡν ὁ σπερματικὸς κινεῖται ὀρρός..., <'Αριστοτέλης> δὲ περὶ τὴν πρώτην έβδομάδα, <περὶ ἡν> ἔννοια γίνεται καλοῦ τε καὶ κακοῦ καὶ τῆς διδασκαλίας ἀρχή.¹

- 3. Consequently, according to Aristotle, a man's education should begin at the age of seven. But when does it stop, may I ask? In other words, did Aristotle share another feeling of the old Solon, Γηράσκω δ' αἰεὶ πολλὰ διδασκόμενος? Apparently, not. According to Aristotle, a man may well become a miser with old age or disability. And if that happens his miserliness cannot be cured, since reeducation in old age is imposible: $EN \Delta 1$, p. 1121 b 12, 'H δ' ἀνελευθερία ἀνίατός τ' ἐστίν (δοκεῖ γὰρ τὸ γῆρας καὶ πᾶσα ἀδυνατία ἀνελευθέρους ποιεῖν) καὶ...²
- 4. Theophrastus took over this view from Aristotle: an old man is unable to change his way of life, no matter how harmful it may be to him, for reeducation in old age is difficult, even impossible: Περὶ παιδείας apud Stobaeum II. 31. 124 (II, p. 240. 18—25 Wachsmuth), ...καὶ ἡ ἀναστροφὴ χαλεπή, μᾶλλον δὲ σχεδὸν ἀδύνατος. οὕτε γὰρ ὁ χρόνος δίδωσιν ἐξουσίαν μεταθέσεως οὕθ' ἡ φύσις δύναται μεταμανθάνειν τὸ βέλτιον. . ³
- 5. I now come to Menander. His characters Misanthrope (Cnemon in Dyscolus) and Miser (Euclio in Aulularia) do not change their way of life at the end of the respective play, no matter how much detrimental it proved to be to them. Cnemon frankly admits (Dyscolus 711f.): οὐδ' ἄν εἶς δύναιτό με / τοῦτο μεταπεῖσαί τις ὑμῶν, ἀλλὰ συγχωρήσετε. Compare 252, οὕτ' ᾶν μεταπείσαι νουθετῶν. Why Menander believed that a misanthrope or a miser cannot be reeducated? My answer would be: probably because both of them are γέροντες, and, according to Aristotle, reeducation in old age is difficult, even impossible (ἀνίατος, ἀδύνατος).

In conclusion, in believing that an old man cannot be reeducated, Menander most probably stood under the influence of the theory of Aristotle, via Theophrastus⁴.

Received 4th of Nov. 1983.

¹ As for the text, see M. Marcovich, Hermes 94 (1966) 121f.

² Compare M. Marcovich, "Euclio, Cnemon, and the Peripatos", *Illinois Class. Studies* 2 (1977) 197—218, esp. pp. 202f.

³ See P. Steinmetz, Annales Universitatis Saraviensis 8 (1959) 230—235; Idem, "Menander und Theophrast," Rhein. Museum 103 (1960) 190f.

⁴ Compare K. Gaiser, "Menander und der Peripatos", Antike und Abendland 13 (1967) 8—40, contra A. Barigazzi, La formazione spirituale di Menandro (Turin, 1965), passim.